

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/972,805	CHANDLER ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ashwin Mehta	1638	

All Participants:

(1) Ashwin Mehta.

(2) Otis Littlefield.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

written description, enablement

Claims discussed:

29-31, 34, 81, 83, 85, 87-89, 91, 94, 95, 98, 99, 121, 123, 125, 127-129, 131, 134, 135, 138, 139, 341, 343, 345, 347-349, 351, 354, 355, 358, 359, 381, 383, 385, 387-390, 393, 394, 397, 398

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner's amendments to the claims were discussed and approved.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)